UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN SOUTHERN DIVISION

JILL BABCOCK, et al.,

Civil Case No. 22-cv-12951

Plaintiffs,

VS.

HON. MARK A. GOLDSMITH

MICHIGAN, STATE OF,

Defendants.

ORDER REGARDING MOTION TO DISMISS (Dkt. 29)

The Court has reviewed Defendant State of Michigan's pending motion to dismiss (Dkt. 29). In the motion, Defendant argues, in part, that the complaint does not plead sufficiently detailed or specific factual allegations and is, therefore, defective for failing to articulate a plausible claim, as required by the Supreme Court's decision in Ashcroft v. Iqbal, 556 U.S. 662 (2009).

To promote judicial economy and "the just, speedy, and inexpensive determination" of the action, Fed. R. Civ. P. 1, the Court advises the parties of its view that a party whose pleading faces a motion to dismiss should assess whether alleged deficiencies may be cured by an amended pleading, so that the Court and the parties are not required to address the sufficiency of a pleading more than once. The parties are further advised that if a party does not currently utilize the opportunity to amend and instead opposes the motion to dismiss, the Court will take that fact into account should the motion to dismiss be granted and the opposing party then file a motion for leave to amend.

Accordingly, Plaintiffs may file an amended complaint within 21 days from the filing of the motion to dismiss, either as of right pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 15(a)(1)(B), or pursuant to leave now granted, if the prerequisites of Fed. R. Civ. P. 15(a)(1)(B) have not been met. If

Case 2:22-cv-12951-MAG-JJCG ECF No. 31, PageID.570 Filed 03/16/23 Page 2 of 2

Plaintiffs timely files an amended complaint, the Court will deny without prejudice the currently

pending motion to dismiss as moot. If Plaintiffs does not timely file an amended complaint,

Plaintiffs shall file a response to the motion in accordance with the time limits set forth in the local

rules, and the Court will decide the motion.

SO ORDERED.

Dated: March 16, 2023

Detroit, Michigan

s/Mark A. Goldsmith

MARK A. GOLDSMITH

United States District Judge

2